

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01496 01 OF 02 162129Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-07 ERDA-05 AF-08 ARA-06 CIAE-00  
EA-07 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NASA-01 NEA-10  
NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-06 SS-15  
USIA-06 MC-02 /125 W  
-----162154Z 068038 /70

R 161720Z MAR 77  
FM USMISSION NATO  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2230  
SECDEF WASHDC  
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
CINCLANT  
USCINCEUR  
USDEL MBFR VIENNA  
USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA  
USLOSACLANT  
USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 1496

E.O. 11652: GDS  
TAGS: PFOR, NATO, MNUC, NPG  
SUBJECT: THE CRUISE MISSILE: A NATO ISSUE

REF: (A) USNATO 1391 (DTG 111656Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (B) USNATO  
1419 (DTG 130200Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (C) LONDON 03744 (DTG  
041858Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (D) BONN 4427 (DTG 111628Z MAR 77-  
NOTAL), (E) STATE 057067 (DTG 150207Z MAR 77-NOTAL)

SUMMARY: BECAUSE THE CRUISE MISSILE WILL BE AT THE FOREFRONT  
OF ALLIED CONCERNS THIS SPRING IN NATO DISCUSSIONS OF ARMS  
CONTROL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE ISSUES, WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD  
ENGAGE THE ALLIES MORE DEEPLY IN THIS SUBJECT TO (A) BUILD  
ALLIED SUPPORT FOR PROSPECTIVE SALT AGREEMENTS, AND (B) CREATE  
A BALANCED PERSPECTIVE ON THE POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTION OF CRUISE  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01496 01 OF 02 162129Z

MISSILES TO NATO DEFENSE. CRUSIE MISSILES IN ANY CASE  
WILL BE A PROMINENT SUBJECT OF INTEREST IN NPG NUCLEAR  
BALANCE DISCUSSIONS THIS SPRING, PROBABLY BEGINNING  
AT PERMREP LEVEL IN APRIL. WE MUST, THEREFORE,  
CAREFULLY COORDINATE OUR APPROACHES IN NATO FORA.  
END SUMMARY.

THE PROBLEM.

1. THE ALLIES CLEARLY WILL GIVE CAREFULL ATTENTION TO

CRUSIE MISSILES IN THE MONTHS AHEAD -- IN SALT CONSULTATIONS (REFS A AND B), BILATERALLY (REFS C AND D), AND IN NPG DISCUSSIONS THIS SPRING ON THE NUCLEAR BALANCE. THERE ARE NOW, HOWEVER, A COMBINATION OF FACTORS PRESSING THE SUBJECT FURTHER TO THE FOREFRONT: --THE NEW ADMINISTRATION HAS INDICATED POSSIBLE NEW APPROACHES TO CRUISE MISSILES IN SALT; --US DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS ARE PROGRESSING SMARTLY, TO INCLUDE CONTRATION ON DEVELOPING A GROUND LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (GLCM); --THE ALLIES PERCEIVE DISTURBING TRENDS IN THE SOVIET THEATER NUCLEAR THREAT, SUCH AS THE SS-20, WHICH ARE FOCUSING THEIR THOUGHTS ON NUCLEAR DETERRENCE IN EUROPE.

2. THE CRUISE MISSILE'S POSSIBLE ROLE IN THE US STRATEGIC ARSENAL WOULD SUFFICE TO SPARK ALLIED ATTENTION. BUT THE FACT THAT CRUISE MISSILES COULD FIT SIGNIFICANTLY INTO THE FRAMEWORK OF EUROPEAN DEFENSE, COUPLED WITH THE VIRTUAL ABSENCE OF EUROPEAN INVOLVEMENT IN THEIR DEVELOPMENT, MAKES THEM A PRIME TOPIC ON WHICH THE ALLIES WILL LIKELY MEASURE OUR CONSULTATION CREDENTIALS.

3. THE SUBJECT, OF COURSE, IS NOT NEW IN NATO. WE HAVE DISCUSSED POSSIBLE APPROACHES TO CRUISE MISSILE  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 01496 01 OF 02 162129Z

LIMITATIONS IN PAST SALT CONSULTATIONS. QUESTIONS ON THE MISSILES WERE RAISED IN SEVERAL PAST NPG MINISTERIALS, AND WE PRESENTED A SUBSTANTIAL BRIEFING ON CRUISE MISSILE DEVELOPMENTS IN THE NPG LAST NOVEMBER.

THE POSITION OF THE ALLIES.

4. THE PROBLEM FOR THE ALLIES NOW IS THAT THEY SEEM TO HAVE NO CLEAR IDEA OF WHAT CRUISE MISSILE COULD DO FOR THEIR SECURITY OR OF THE PRICE IN CRUISE MISSILE RESTRICTIONS THAT MIGHT BE WORTH PAYING TO ACHIEVE A SALT AGREEMENT. INDEED, THEIR MAIN FEAR MAY BE THAT THE US WILL STAMPEDE THEM INTO CONSULTATIONS ON AN EMERGING SALT AGREEMENT BEFORE THEY UNDERSTAND CLEARLY THE TRADE-OFFS.

5. IN SALT I, WHEN THE NUCLEAR THREAT FACING EUROPE WAS RELATIVELY STATIC, THE ALLIES COULD EMBRACE THE AGREED LIMITATIONS ON CENTRAL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS AND THE EXCLUSION OF NON-CENTRAL SYSTEMS. THE ALLIES, AWARE OF AN IMPENDING SALT II AGREEMENT AND A CHANGING THEATER NUCLEAR THREAT, SEE THEIR VITAL INTERESTS AS MORE DIRECTLY AFFECTED, AND WILL SCRUTINIZE ANY EMERGING US-SOVIET AGREEMENT WITH GREAT CARE.

6. IN THIS REGARD, WE EXPECT SOME ALLIES SPECIFICALLY TO RELATE THE SS-20 AND CRUISE MISSILES. WHILE THE LATTER ARE, AS WE UNDERSTAND IT, UNLIKELY TO PROVIDE A DIRECT MILITARY "COUNTER" TO THE SS-20, THEY REPRESENT IN THE EYES OF SOME ALLIED STRATEGISTS A POTENTIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTION TO DETERRENCE WHICH THEY WOULD BE RELUCTANT TO SEE SACRIFICED WITHOUT EVIDENCE OF RECIPROCAL SOVIET RESTRAINT. FURTHER, WE NOTE (REF A) CONTINUING EUROPEAN INTEREST, EVEN IF ILL-DEFINED, IN CRUISE MISSILE POTENTIAL FOR A FUTURE EUROPEAN NUCLEAR DETERRENT.

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 01496 01 OF 02 162129Z

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 01496 02 OF 02 162146Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-07 ERDA-05 AF-08 ARA-06 CIAE-00  
EA-07 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NASA-01 NEA-10  
NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-06 SS-15  
USIA-06 MC-02 /125 W  
-----162158Z 068380 /70

R 161720Z MAR 77  
FM USMISSION NATO  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2231  
SECDEF WASHDC  
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
CINCLANT  
USCINCEUR  
USDEL MBFR VIENNA  
USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA  
USLOSACLANT  
USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 1496

7. REGARDING A POSSIBLE SALT AGREEMENT EXCLUDING CRUISE MISSILES, THE ALLIES WILL WANT TO KNOW THE RATIONALE FOR DEFERRING CRUISE MISSILES TO SUBSEQUENT NEGOTIATIONS, AND TO HAVE A US VIEW ON THE POTENTIAL VALUE OF CRUISE MISSILES IN FUTURE US-ALLIED MILITARY STRATEGY.

BRIEFINGS FOR NATO.

8. AGAINST THIS BACKGROUND, WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD BRING THE ALLIES CAREFULLY UP TO SPEED THIS SPRING ON CRUISE MISSILES, IN ORDER TO BUILD ALLIED SUPPORT FOR

US APPROACHES.

(A) BRIEFING CONTENT. THE ALLIES WELCOMED THE TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS AT THE NOVEMBER NPG, BUT WE  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01496 02 OF 02 162146Z

EXPECT CURRENT INTEREST WILL FOCUS ON:

--THE MILITARY, STRATEGIC AND POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS OF CRUISE MISSILES;

--THE US THINKING ON THE ROLE FOR A GLCM (OF PARTICULAR UK AND FRG CONCERN).

UNDER THIS RUBRIC, THE ALLIES WILL BE PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN SUB-ASPECTS SUCH AS MOBILITY, RANGES, TARGETS, CONVENTIONAL AND NUCLEAR APPLICATIONS, SURVIVABILITY BEFORE LAUNCH AND VULNERABILITY IN FLIGHT, COSTS, AND POSSIBILITIES FOR COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS.

(B) FORMAT FOR DISCUSSION. WE ASSUME THE US WILL REVIEW THE STATUS OF CRUISE MISSILES IN FORTHCOMING SALT CONSULTATIONS IN THE NAC (REF E). WE ALSO EXPECT THE ALLIES WILL RAISE THE SUBJECT THIS SPRING IN THE NPG DISCUSSIONS ON THE NUCLEAR BALANCE. SPECIFICALLY, SYG LUNS PLANS TO PROPOSE AN NPG PERMREPS MEETING ON THE NUCLEAR BALANCE DURING THE SECOND HALF OF APRIL TO FORESHADOW SIMILAR DISCUSSIONS BY NPG MINISTERS IN JUNE. WHILE THE PRIMARY US PURPOSE AT THE APRIL MEETING SHOULD BE TO HEAR OUT THE ALLIES, WE BELIEVE THE US ALSO SHOULD BE PREPARED:

--TO DISCUSS ANY SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS INCLUDING THE RATIONALE FOR THE DECISION TO DEVELOP THE GLCM;

--PROVIDE (AS IN THE PAST) A WASHINGTON EXPERT FOR THE PERMREP MEETING.

SUCH DISCUSSION SHOULD NOT, HOWEVER, UNDERCUT MINISTERIAL PREROGATIVES, AND WE BELIEVE COMPREHENSIVE COVERAGE OF CRUISE MISSILES AND OTHER NUCLEAR BALANCE ISSUES SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR THE CUSTOMARY NPG MINISTERIAL.

9. AS WE ENGAGE IN SALT AND NPG DISCUSSIONS, WE EXPECT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ARMS CONTROL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE ISSUES TO BE INCREASINGLY CLOSE, AND THAT CRUISE MISSILES WILL OFTEN BE THE SUBJECT AROUND WHICH THIS EXCHANGE WILL OCCUR. MUCH OF THE PUBLIC LITERATURE AND PRIVATE SPECU-  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 01496 02 OF 02 162146Z

LATION DOUBTLESS HAS STIMULATED EXAGGERATED EXPECTATIONS FOR CRUISE MISSILES. WE SHOULD, THEREFORE, GIVE PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO THE COORDINATION OF OUR APPROACHES IN NATO FORA WHERE CRUISE MISSILES WILL BE ADDRESSED, AND ASSURE THAT THE CONTENT OF SUCH DISCUSSIONS MEETS THE ALLIES' SUBSTANTIVE CONCERNS. BY CAREFUL MANAGEMENT WE CAN PREVENT THIS AREA FROM BECOMING A GAP IN THE SPECTRUM OF

NATO CONSULTATIONS ON NUCLEAR DEFENSE AND ARMS CONTROL ISSUES.  
STRAUSZ-HUPE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 30-Aug-1999 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 16-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977NATO01496  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t197703110/baaabcq.tel  
**Line Count:** 222  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Message ID:** 9f9b01b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 5  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) USNATO 1391 (DTG 111656Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (B) USNATO 1419 (DTG 130200Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (C) LONDON 03744 (DTG 041858Z MAR 77-NOTAL), (D) BONN 4427 (DTG 111628Z MAR 77- NOTAL), (E) STATE 057067) (DTG 150207Z MAR 77-NOTAL)  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 26-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2962713  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** THE CRUISE MISSILE: A NATO ISSUE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO, MNUC, NPG  
**To:** STATE SECDEF MULTIPLE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/9f9b01b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009